ORDER SHEET

West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

8

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. **OA-430 of 2019**

| Bishnupada Chakraborty Versus The State of We | | est Bengal & Ors. |
|---|--|--|
| Serial No. and date of order | Order of the Tribunal with signature | Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 03 18/11/2019 | For the Applicant : Mr. S.S. Roy, Ld. Advocate. | |
| | For the State Respondent: NONE. | |
| | The applicant has prayed for direction upon the | |
| | respondents to include the name of the applicant in the | |
| | Gradation List published on February 13, 2018 and | |
| | other ancillary reliefs. | |
| | The contention of the applicant is that he joined as | |
| | Work-peon in the Work Charged establishment under | |
| | the respondent no. 5 on April 24, 1990. He was brought | |
| | under regular establishment from Work Charged | |
| | establishment after completion of 10 (ten) years of | |
| | service on September 24, 2000. The further contention | |
| | of the applicant is that his name appeared in the | |
| | Gradation List of qualified Group 'D' and eligible Group | |
| | 'C' employees under regular establishment on April 25, | |
| | 2012 and on November 03, 2016, but his name was | |
| | omitted from the Gradation List published by the Chief | |
| | Engineer, Headquarters, Public Works (Roads) | |
| | Directorate, Government of West Bengal on February 13, | |
| | 2018. The grievance of the applicant is that his | |
| | representation has not been considered by the respondents for inclusion of his name in the Gradation | |
| | List published on February 13, 2018. | |
| | | |
| | Having heard Learned Counsel representing both | |
| | parties and on consideration of the materials on record, | |

$\label{eq:order} ORDER\ SHEET-(\textit{Continuation})$

Form No.

Bishnupada Chakraborty

 V_{S}

The State of West Bengal & Others.

| Case No. OA-430 of 2019 | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Serial No. and date of order | Order of the Tribunal with signature | Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| | we are of the view that the applicant should submit | | |
| | proper representation before the respondent no. 3, Chief | | |
| | Engineer, Headquarters, Public Works (Roads) | | |
| | Directorate, Government of West Bengal through proper | | |
| | channel within specific period of time and the said | | |
| | representation should be considered by the said | | |
| | respondent no. 3 within specific period of time. | | |
| | Accordingly, the applicant is directed to submit | | |
| | representation before the respondent no. 3 through | | |
| | proper channel within a period of 02 (two) weeks from | | |
| | the date of the order. If such representation is submitted | | |
| | by the applicant within stipulated period of time, the | | |
| | respondent no. 3, Chief Engineer, Headquarters, Public | | |
| | Works (Roads) Directorate, Government of West Bengal | | |
| | is directed to consider the said representation of the | | |
| | applicant in accordance with law within a period of 08 | | |
| | (eight) weeks from the date of receiving the said | | |
| | representation by passing a reasoned order and to | | |
| | communicate the same to the applicant within a period | | |
| | of 04 (four) weeks thereafter. With the above direction, | | |
| | the original application stands disposed of. | | |
| | Let a Plain Copy of the order be supplied to both | | |
| | parties. | | |
| | S. K. DAS R. K. BAG | | |

MEMBER(J)

MEMBER(A)

Csm